Interview Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09686284

Examiner

J. Harrison

Hughs-BAIRD

3713



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) J. Harrison	(3) Adam Masia/Lena Van Asdale
(2) S. Ashburn	(4) A. Baerlocher
Date of Interview Dec 5, 2001	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🔀 applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1, in genreal terms	
Identification of prior art discussed: Kamille	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of interpretation of Kamille in therms of claim 1. The examiner noted that he was relying on two embodiments within Kamille under 103 to clarify the position. Applicant discussed general claim language which was being proposed and the examiner's agreed the proposed language would advance the prosecution of the file.	
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See M already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FF	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

J. HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 3713**